



MEETING MINUTES

Project:	Campus Master Plan 2020-30	Job Number:	N/A
Client:	N/A	Meeting Location:	Trevor Colbourn Hall 358B
Bldg / Rm#:	Trevor Colbourn Hall, Suite 358B	Meeting Date & Time:	2/22/2019 @ 12:30am
Attendees:	Anthony Merola, Bill Martin, Carl Kelly, David Hansen, Jennifer Elliott, Gary Cahen, James Wilkening, Lisa Molloy, Rick Falco, Anand Rampersad, Ina Carpenter, Krishna Singh, Suzy Hutson, John Settle	Transcribed By:	John Settle, UCF FP&C
		Copy To:	File

OBJECTIVE:

The purpose of the meeting was to present an overview of what is expected for the Campus Master Plan Update 2020-30.

MEETING MINUTES:

- 1) GENERAL – Bill Martin opened the meeting, each attendee introduced themselves and who they represent.
- 2) INTRODUCTION – Bill & Suzy Hutson
 - a. Overview of Elements, Element Leaders, and tentative schedule.
 - b. Overview of State Statutes, required Elements, and proposed combined Elements.
 - i. Asked attendees for feedback regarding combined Elements.
 - c. Overview of Goals, Objectives, & Policies (GOP), Data & Analysis (D&A), and Evaluation & Appraisal Report (EAR).
- 3) ELEMENTS OF THE CMP & OVERALL STRATEGY
 - a. Bill noted the importance of aligning the CMP with the UCF Collective Impact Strategic Plan.
 - b. Suzy noted that Dave Norvell will assist with the incorporation of Sustainability throughout the CMP.
 - c. Carl Kelly will assist with the creation of maps.
 - i. Bill noted the need for improved maps – should be visionary documents with a better look.
 - d. Suzy reviewed proposed changes to Elements
 - i. All concurred with proposed changes.
- 4) QUESTIONS TO CONSIDER
 - a. Suzy asked: Is a complete overhaul of CMP needed?
 - i. Bill – some elements will need major overhaul – CIP, Future Land Use, Format/Look.
 - b. Should we add a Vision Statement – who writes?
 - i. No feedback

- ii. Suzy noted that in the previous CMP meeting, it was agreed upon that a workshop to collaborate on a vision statement, with the involvement of UCF Communication and Marketing, would be a good idea.
 - c. Should our CMP look ahead further than 10 years?
 - i. David Hansen said that Athletics usually plans ahead three years.
 - ii. All agreed that looking ahead 10 years is plenty.
 - d. Do we need a new look?
 - i. Suggested we change the look of the CMP to align with UCF brand lexicon.
 - e. Suzy suggested adding the word Athletics to the title of the Recreation & Open Space Element.
 - i. David and Jim Wilkening agreed that it would be a good idea.
- 5) CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS LIST (CIL)
 - a. Suzy gave overview, noted need to fix the map.
 - b. Bill noted the need to whittle down the CIL.
- 6) FUTURE LAND USE
 - a. Developable Land (See revised PP, letters added as a point of reference)
 - b. Partnership Campus (A)
 - i. Needs new name (President's Reserve?)
 - ii. Suzy noted that the area has no infrastructure and will be expensive to develop.
 - iii. Bill suggested that we keep it on our radar, but no need for a specific plan yet.
 - iv. David said that Athletics has no plans for the area, but a parking garage in the vicinity would be useful.
 - v. David said that Athletics would like to develop the triangle of trees (southeast of the Softball Stadium), possibly for temporary parking, or tennis courts.
 - 1. Golf driving range not in future plans anymore.
 - 2. Residential neighbors want triangle of trees preserved.
 - vi. Jennifer Elliott noted that while Landscape & Natural Resources would like to preserve the triangle of trees, they understand if it is needed for development.
 - 1. Even more important to them to preserve the square patch of land at the entrance to the pine scrub trails.
 - vii. David said they are deciding between a renovation of existing shared tennis courts and constructing new athletics courts.
 - 1. UCFAA tennis teams currently use USTA courts at Lake Nona, 28 miles away.
 - 2. Jim noted that the current courts on the main campus are much too small and lack sufficient support facilities for UCFAA use.
 - c. Ara Drive (B)
 - i. Not much interest in this area from attendees
 - 1. Suzy gave brief overview of discussion from previous meeting.
 - d. Between Central Florida Blvd and Libra Drive, south of Gemini Blvd (C)
 - i. Lots of discussion about how to alleviate parking issues.
 - ii. Gary Cahen noted that the interconnected surface lots are problematic.
 - iii. Kris Singh agreed with the notion to restrict freshmen (at least) from parking on campus.
 - iv. Gary noted that Rec & Wellness have plans to develop southwest of building 88, to build a gateway center/shelter/access point to Rec area.
 - v. Anand Rampersad (Andy) said that the old Wayne Densch buildings (38 & 39) area might be good for a parking garage, but not further south because of complications (traffic studies needed; lots of work).
 - 1. Suzy stated that site is already planned for Dining, Housing, residence Life and the Creative School for Children.
 - 2. She further clarified that Dr. Konvalinka of Housing is not in favor of combining Creative School with student housing and that Creative School is more feasible on the site approved in 2014 near BPW Scholarship House.

- vi. Suzy proposed an intercept garage near the University Ave entrance to keep traffic off Gemini.
Two locations were discussed 1) between University and Central Florida Blvd fronting on Gemini Blvd or 2) see e.
 - 1. Andy & Kris agreed
- e. (D) Alafaya frontage, between University Av and Central Florida Blvd
 - i. Mixed use facility would be ideal – housing, retail, & parking.
 - ii. Anthony Merola pointed out that Central Florida Blvd is currently designated as an emergency vehicle staging area (hundreds of vehicles)
 - 1. Suzy noted that along Central Florida Blvd would still have enough space for emergency vehicles if we took care to site the entrances to the development.
 - iii. Kris said that one large intercept garage (2,000 spots), serviced by two shuttles, would be great. This became Priority 1 Garage
 - 1. Garage B could be used by occupants of buildings in that area.
 - iv. Suzy described a multi-step long-range plan to add greenspace on campus
 - 1. Build a big student garage
 - 2. Move student parking out of the Academic Core garages
 - 3. Prohibit freshmen from driving on campus
 - 4. Move faculty/staff out of parking lots into Academic Core garages
 - 5. Get rid of surface parking lots.
 - 6. Add greenspace!
 - v. A small intercept garage at Performing Arts Center didn't need a shuttle – maybe the garage is not necessary at all. Priority 3 Garage
 - vi. A garage near the intersections of Orion and McCulloch was proposed, and all agreed it was a good idea. Priority 2 Garage
 - vii. Andy noted that the removal of surface lots will be met with complaints by students and visitors.
 - viii. Kris said that priorities for Parking Dept. are Rosen, College of Medicine, then a large garage on main campus.
 - 1. Kris noted that the price to construct garage has essentially doubled in recent years (\$9,900 to ~\$20K)
 - ix. Suzy noted that adding any more parking garages within the Academic Core takes valuable space away from Academics and should be avoided
 - x. David concurred with idea to build a garage at north entrance, but wanted to be sure we have plan in place for all necessary surrounding infrastructure (ingress, egress, etc.)
- f. Eastern Reserve (E)
 - i. Suzy reviewed Solar Farm plan.
 - ii. Jennifer said it would be great to keep it as conservation land.
 - iii. Gary inquired about the possibility of making the area a more inviting recreation space.
 - 1. Jennifer said absolutely we could do that.
 - iv. Jennifer noted that this area would make more sense to develop than area (A).
 - v. Jim asked Kris, will there be enough parking to accommodate the future plans of Rec & Wellness? Kris responded yes.
 - vi. Jim inquired about problems with roadways.
 - 1. Was told that Parking does not handle roadways, Facilities does.
 - vii. Jim asked about bike trails and the need to incorporate Sustainability.
 - 1. Was told yes, and to coordinate with Dave Norvell.

End of Meeting Minutes